

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/677,042	Applicant(s) NOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Marjorie A. Moran	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Stanley Fisher.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 April 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10-11

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a telephone message, the examiner stated that the claims recited allowable subject matter, but that claim 10 recite new matter. The examiner asked if applicants wished to put the claims in condition for allowance via an examiner's amendment. In a return message, Mr. Fisher explained that the attorney handling the application was out of the country. Further, due to a national holiday in applicants' country, it would be difficult to get approval in a timely from applicants for the amendment. No amendment was made.